

QUESTION ON NOTICE

No. 1119

asked on Wednesday, 15 June 2016

MR SEENEY ASKED THE MINISTER FOR STATE DEVELOPMENT AND MINISTER FOR NATURAL RESOURCES AND MINES (HON DR A LYNHAM)—

QUESTION:

With reference to the water allocation reduction process undertaken in the Callide Valley and the provision for top up of water allocations to avoid unfair and unjust outcomes that were identified as probable because of inadequacies in the history of use methodology used—

Without identifying or naming individuals, will the Minister provide details of the allocation of top up water including the (a) number of applications received, (b) quantity of top up allocation each applicant applied for and subsequently received, (c) percentage by which each applicants final allocation was increased by top up water and (d) a summary of reasons for the decisions made about each application?

ANSWER:

(a) There were a total of 62 submissions received for 'top up' water relating to 68 interim water allocations, recognising that some water allocation holders have several water entitlements.

(b), (c) and (d)

A summary of the quantity of top up water and subsequent percentages and rationale for additional water volume are shown in table below.

Submission number	Preliminary volume (ML/water yr)	Requested "top-up" volume(ML/ water yr)	Issued "top-up" volume (ML/water yr)	Final entitlement (ML/water yr)	"top-up" volume expressed as a % of final entitlement	Rationalé
1	27	173	69	96	72%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
2	87	13	13	100	13%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
3	35	17	8	43	19%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
4	29	7	2	31	6%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
5	7	15	5	12	42%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
6	24	13	4	28	14%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
7	59	0	0	59	0%	No additional water requested by submitter.
8	45	75	70	115	61%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
9	13	12	4	17	24%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
10	291	59	54	345	16%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
11	137	48	15	152	10%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
12	80	10	5	85	6%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
13	119	41	16	135	12%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
14	227	73	73	300	24%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
15	86	84	84	170	49%	Extenuating circumstance. Evidence that new groundwater-based irrigation investment occurred leading up to and during 2009–10 (last year of HOU
16	5	13	4	9	44%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
17	152	42	15	167	9%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
18	26	74	40	66	61%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances

Submission number	Preliminary volume (ML/water yr)	Requested "top-up" volume(ML/ water yr)	Issued "top-up" volume (ML/water yr)	Final entitlement (ML/water yr)	"top-up" volume expressed as a % of final entitlement	Rationalé
19	154	46	45	199	23%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
20	30	120	49	79	62%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
21	156	61	24	180	13%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
22	69	29	15	84	18%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
23	124	30	9	133	7%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
24	61	39	27	88	31%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
25	124	1	1	125	1%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
26	435	253	253	688	37%	The panel considered the scope of the 5-year investment program and productivity figures. The panel accepted that the investment in a chiller spray unit in September 2010 would increase water use by 50ML per year above HOU. The panel also recognised the social and economic benefits for the region associated with this entitlement and decided that the submitter's request was in the best interests of the community.
27	74	56	18	92	20%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
28	333	117	47	380	12%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
29	368	103	39	407	10%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
30	284	108	42	326	13%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
31	100	80	38	138	28%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
32	9	11	7	16	44%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
33	45	10	3	48	6%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
34	128	118	56	184	30%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances

Submission number	Preliminary volume (ML/water yr)	Requested "top-up" volume(ML/ water yr)	Issued "top-up" volume (ML/water yr)	Final entitlement (ML/water yr)	"top-up" volume expressed as a % of final entitlement	Rationalé
35	60	15	5	65	8%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
36	228	72	29	257	11%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
37	266	89	40	306	13%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
38	7	33	29	36	81%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
39	92	58	22	114	19%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
40	182	88	35	217	16%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
41	148	180	102	250	41%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
42	11	2	1	12	8%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
43	41	9	3	44	7%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
44	36	14	7	43	16%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
45	104	39	12	116	10%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
46	135	45	14	149	9%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
47	108	35	14	122	11%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
48	108	40	17	125	14%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
49	70	14	4	74	5%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
50	55	28	14	69	20%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
51	5	15	5	10	50%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
52	117	25	25	142	18%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances

Submission number	Preliminary volume (ML/water yr)	Requested "top-up" volume(ML/ water yr)	Issued "top-up" volume (ML/water yr)	Final entitlement (ML/water yr)	"top-up" volume expressed as a % of final entitlement	Rationalé
53	417	167	53	470	11%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
54	383	165	71	454	16%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
55	169	16	16	185	9%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
56	130	37	14	144	10%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
57	116	54	23	139	17%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
58	362	121	48	410	12%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
59	168	52	16	184	9%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
60	67	17	17	84	20%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
61	6	26	14	20	70%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
62	178	86	68	246	28%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
63	11	39	14	25	56%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
64	471	167	68	539	13%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
65	18	2	1	19	5%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
66	229	142	104	333	31%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
67	6	114	52	58	90%	Increase according to the methodology adopted by the panel. No additional extenuating circumstances
68	747	240	240	987	24%	Urban supply. The panel accepted the rationale put forward by Banana Shire Council. Request recommended.